1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ANTOLIN A. MARKS, 12 Plaintiff(s), No. C09-6043 BZ 13 v. REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION 14 SPRINT CORPORATION, et al., TO DISMISS ACTION 15 Defendant(s). 16 17 18 On January 5, 2010, I denied plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis and required him to pay the filing 19 fee by February 1, 2010. Plaintiff has failed to do so and 2.0 21 has not consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction. I am 22 therefore having this case reassigned and recommend that it be 23 dismissed for lack of prosecution.

The reasons for my denial included the fact that plaintiff appears to be gainfully employed and has a positive cash flow and the fact that the injuries of which he complains ///

28 ///

24

25

26

27

## 

| 1  | were to his business which is immigration defense. See <u>e.g.</u>     |
|----|--|
| 2  | paragraph 29 of the complaint.   |
| 3  | Dated: April 1, 2010   |
| 4  | Demand Jimmeman  |
| 5  | Bernard/Zimmerman<br>United States Magistrate Judge                    |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | G:\BZALL\-BZCASES\MARKS V. SPRINT\RECOMMENDATION TO DISMISS ACTION.wpd |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |